Application Review Module Monitor User Manual For Review Directors



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	4
Role Definitions	4
ARM Process Model 1 Overview	5
Panel Structure	5
Comments	5
Role Responsibilities	5
Other	5
Navigating ARM	5
System Timeout	6
ARM Application Status Definitions	7
Accessing ARM	8
Logging In	8
Accessing Your Review Session	9
Starting Your Review	
Session Screen Overview	10
Evaluating Review Progress	11
Evaluating Progress by Panel	
Evaluating Progress by Chairperson	11
Evaluating Progress by Chairperson Evaluating Progress by Reviewer	
Evaluating Progress by Chairperson Evaluating Progress by Reviewer Reviewing Individual Applications	
Evaluating Progress by Chairperson Evaluating Progress by Reviewer Reviewing Individual Applications Accessing Individual Applications	
Evaluating Progress by Chairperson Evaluating Progress by Reviewer Reviewing Individual Applications Accessing Individual Applications Viewing the Final Summary Report	
Evaluating Progress by Chairperson Evaluating Progress by Reviewer Reviewing Individual Applications Accessing Individual Applications Viewing the Final Summary Report Viewing Individual Reviewer Scores	
Evaluating Progress by Chairperson Evaluating Progress by Reviewer Reviewing Individual Applications Accessing Individual Applications Viewing the Final Summary Report Viewing Individual Reviewer Scores Viewing Raw Reviewer Comments	
Evaluating Progress by Pairer Evaluating Progress by Reviewer Reviewing Individual Applications Accessing Individual Applications Viewing the Final Summary Report Viewing Individual Reviewer Scores Viewing Raw Reviewer Comments Rejecting Final Summary Reports	
Evaluating Progress by Pairer Son Evaluating Progress by Reviewer Reviewing Individual Applications Accessing Individual Applications Viewing the Final Summary Report Viewing Individual Reviewer Scores Viewing Raw Reviewer Comments Rejecting Final Summary Reports How to Reject Final Summary Reports	
Evaluating Progress by Chairperson Evaluating Progress by Reviewer Reviewing Individual Applications Accessing Individual Applications Viewing the Final Summary Report Viewing Individual Reviewer Scores Viewing Raw Reviewer Comments Rejecting Final Summary Reports How to Reject Final Summary Reports Approving Applications	
Evaluating Progress by Chairperson Evaluating Progress by Reviewer Reviewing Individual Applications Accessing Individual Applications Viewing the Final Summary Report Viewing Individual Reviewer Scores Viewing Raw Reviewer Comments Rejecting Final Summary Reports How to Reject Final Summary Reports Approving Applications Final Approval of Applications	
Evaluating Progress by Chairperson Evaluating Progress by Reviewer Reviewing Individual Applications Accessing Individual Applications Viewing the Final Summary Report Viewing Individual Reviewer Scores Viewing Raw Reviewer Comments Rejecting Final Summary Reports How to Reject Final Summary Reports Approving Applications Final Approval of Applications	
Evaluating Progress by Chairperson Evaluating Progress by Reviewer Reviewing Individual Applications Accessing Individual Applications Viewing the Final Summary Report Viewing Individual Reviewer Scores Viewing Raw Reviewer Comments Rejecting Final Summary Reports How to Reject Final Summary Reports Approving Applications Final Approval of Applications How to Generate Reports	
Evaluating Progress by Chairperson Evaluating Progress by Reviewer Reviewing Individual Applications Accessing Individual Applications Viewing the Final Summary Report Viewing Individual Reviewer Scores Viewing Raw Reviewer Comments Rejecting Final Summary Reports How to Reject Final Summary Reports Approving Applications Final Approval of Applications How to Generate Reports Available Reports	

ARM Monitor Manual for Review Directors

The Client Snapshot Report	23
The Reviewer Activity Report	23
Managing Your Account	24
Update Account information	24
Update Email	25
Update Password	26
Update Login Name	27
Thank You	27

INTRODUCTION

The Application Review Model (ARM) is a key component of the Grants Center of Excellence's (COE's) GrantSolutions ecosystem. The web-based ARM delivers a robust system to review grant applications, and ensures the seamless organization, evaluation and management of grant application lifecycle. Fair and comprehensive reviews through a standardized review process are at the heart of the ARM system. ARM participants can access the system 24/7/365 offering reviewers easy access from their home, office or remote location.

ROLE DEFINITIONS

The ARM roles are hierarchical in nature, providing the foundation for an application review workflow.

- **Reviewers**: Individuals assigned to a panel with a set of applications for which they are responsible for providing scores and/or comments as required, reviewing each application's content against the evaluation criteria. The primary role of a reviewer is to read the grant application and assign scores based on the criteria setup for that particular review session. After entering scores for each criterion, the reviewer may enter comments (strengths and weaknesses) to justify the scores assigned to each criterion. The reviewer then submits the evaluation to the panel Chairperson.
- **Chairperson**: As the facilitator of the panel members and panel discussion process, the Chairperson is responsible for monitoring the progress of their panel's Reviewers as well as compiling the Reviewer's comments into a final summary report produced in ARM. They are responsible for ensuring all assigned applications meet the objective of the review, and are provided to the RD.
- **Review Director (RD)**: The primary functions of this role are to monitor the review while in progress and provide final approval for all application evaluations in ARM, certifying the review is complete. Generally, this role is filled by Federal program staff. Users with the RD role cannot create new system users, and can only access sessions assigned to them by the Agency Administrator or a Session Administrator.

ARM PROCESS MODEL 1 OVERVIEW

Process Model 1, includes a strengthened review criteria and scoring format that provides more flexibility with scoring and non-scoring criteria.

PANEL STRUCTURE

- 1. Panels Include:
 - a. Multiple Reviewers per panel.
 - b. One Chairperson per panel.

COMMENTS

- 1. Must be entered after the criterion is scored.
- 2. Included by evaluation criterion.
- 3. Separated by Strengths and Weakness.
- 4. Ordered by page reference.

ROLE RESPONSIBILITIES

- 1. Only Reviewers can score and edit their own comments.
- 2. Chairpersons can review all scores and comments and return submitted evaluations for updates.
- 3. Chairpersons compile panel comments, by application, into the Final Summary Report.
- 4. RDs provide final approval in a one-tier approval process.

OTHER

- 1. Reviewers only have visibility to their own scores and comments.
- 2. Reviewer evaluations can be returned by the Chairperson for editing.
- 3. Evaluations can be returned by the RD for editing by the Chairperson or Reviewers.

NAVIGATING ARM

The breadcrumb trail in the blue ribbon at the top of each page in ARM is your main navigation through the system. We recommend you not use your browser back and forward buttons, but instead use the breadcrumb trail.

1. Your current screen will always be displayed in the right most position and will not be an active hyperlink since that is the page that you are on.



- 2. To go back to any previous screen, simply click the link for the desired screen.
- **3.** If you are unsure what screen you need, you can click back one link at a time until you find the right screen.

SYSTEM TIMEOUT

Per federal regulations, and to provide maximum data security, there is a 30 minute timeout feature in ARM that will log you out after 30 minutes of inactivity. You will receive a 5 minute warning, but to prevent data loss, please save your progress often. **Note**: Only clicking buttons and hyperlinks is considered activity, and not typing text. Each click of a button or hyperlink will reset the timeout clock.



ARM APPLICATION STATUS DEFINITIONS

- **Pre-Review**: The Reviewer has control of the application and has not yet accessed the evaluation. Scoring and commenting has not begun.
- **In Review**: The Reviewer has control of the application and have not yet submitted the application evaluation to the Chairperson. Scoring and commenting is in progress.
- Submitted to Chair: All reviewers have completed the evaluations for the application and have submitted them to the Chairperson. The Chairperson has control of the application and can begin creating the Final Summary Report, and Reviewers cannot make any changes to their individual evaluations.
- **Returned by Chair**: The Chairperson has identified changes in the evaluation that need to be addressed by the Reviewer. The Chairperson has returned the application to the Reviewer's control for additional work. A Chairperson will not have visibility to a reviewer's scores while an evaluation is in this status. A Chairperson cannot edit any reviewer's scores.
- Submitted to RD: All Reviewers have submitted their evaluations to the Chairperson, and the Chairperson has created the Final Summary report and submitted it to the RD. The RD has control of the application to provide final approval. The panel can no longer can make changes to individual evaluations or to the Final Summary Report.
- **Returned by RD**: The RD has identified changes in the Final Summary Report that need to be addressed by the panel. The Chairperson now has control of the application for him/her to address or for him/her to return to the Reviewers for them to address. A RD cannot edit any Reviewer scores, nor make edits to any comments.
- **Approved**: The RD is satisfied with the Final Summary Report and provides final approval of that application. No further changes are required or permitted. The Approved status signifies the beginning of the closeout process for Reviewers and Chairpersons.

ACCESSING ARM

You will receive the link to ARM as well as your username and password prior to your review. Click the link to access the ARM homepage.

LOGGING IN

1. Navigate to the ARM homepage.

Grant Solutions Application Review
User Login User
Name: Password: Login User Name is Case Sensitive
<u>Retrieve</u> <u>User</u> <u>Lost Password</u> <u>FAQ</u> <u>Name</u>

- 2. Enter your username and password and click the Login button.
- 3. If you have forgotten your username or password, click the <u>Retrieve Username</u> link or the <u>Lost</u> <u>Password</u> link and follow the instructions. All ARM account information will be sent to the email address with which your account is registered. Please be sure to add no_reply@grantsolutions.gov to your contact list to ensure you receive these emails.

	Аррисатion нечем
Step 2	User Login
	User CNewcomer Name: Password:
	Login User Name is Case Sensitive
Step 3	Retrieve User Lost Password EAO

8



ACCESSING YOUR REVIEW SESSION

After logging in, you will need to access your review session to start monitoring panel progress and approving evaluations.

- 1. Clicking the Login button takes you to the My Home screen that lists all agencies to which you are assigned as Monitor. This list will likely contain only one agency.
- 2. Depending on your account, you may have access to more than just the monitor role, that of RD. Confirm that you are accessing the review session as a monitor by clicking the Monitor link above the agency list.

▶ <u>Log on/off</u> ▶ My	Home		
		Admin Monitor Reviewer	
Abb	or	Agency List	Role
ACL		Administration for Community Living	Agency Admin
ARM	1 TEST	ARM Production Testing	Agency Admin
СВ		Children's Bureau	Agency Admin

3. Next click your hyperlinked agency name to access your list of review sessions.

Log on/off	My Home		
		<u>Admin</u> Monitor <u>Reviewer</u>	
	Abbr	Agency List	Role
	ACL	Administration for Community Living	Agency Admin
	ARM TEST	ARM Production Testing	Agency Admin
	СВ	Children's Bureau	Agency Admin

4. The Agency Home screen lists all review sessions for which you are serving as Monitor.

<u>/off</u> •	My Home Agency	Home			
			(ARM - TR) Agency Admin :		
	ID 🗖 🗖	Session List for ARM - TR	🛛 🖬 Status 🗖 💟	Program 🛎 💌	Program Suppor Site
1.	newscore	NewScoreScreen trial	In-Review	ARM - TR	
2.	M7Test	Model 7 Test Session	In-Review	ARM - TR	012

- 5. Click the appropriate hyperlinked session name. Note: If your session has a program support website with relevant information concerning your review, the link will be in the Program Support Site column. Click the <u>Go to Site</u> link to open it.
- 6. You will now see the Session screen, pictured on the following page, that you will use to access Final Summary Reports, other Reports, and provides a high-level overview of panel progress.

STARTING YOUR REVIEW

Accessing your review session takes you to the Session screen, which provides you with session information. The high level review progress can also be monitored from this screen, and you will use the Session screen to access panels and applications for detailed review progress as well as to access monitoring reports.

Session ID:	M5Ben
Session Name:	Model 5 Ben Demo (ARM - TR)
Review Type:	Remote Review
Status:	In-Review
Review Progress:	100.0 % of Applications In Review: 16 / 16; 0.0 % of Applications Approved: 0 / 16
View Panel Progress:	1 2 3 4
Evaluation List:	By Chair By Reviewer
Reports	

SESSION SCREEN OVERVIEW

The Session screen provides the following review details:

- **1. Session ID**: This is the ID for the session in ARM. Typically it consists of the year and abbreviated program name.
- 2. Session Name: This is the name of your review session and will typically reflect the program name.
- 3. Review Type: Lists the review session's format, On-Site, Remote, or Hybrid.
- 4. Status: This is the status of the review session itself and not a status representing panel progress. During the panel review and RD approval process, the session will be In-Review.
- 5. Review Progress: This is a high-level summary of review progress that lists the percentage of all applications that have an "In-Review" status and the percentage of all applications that have been approved by RD.
- 6. View Panel Progress: This lists all panels in your review, and is where you will access the review progress by panel.
- **7.** Evaluation List: This contains the By Chair and By Reviewer links, and is where you will access the alternate views of panel progress by Chairperson and by Reviewer.

EVALUATING REVIEW PROGRESS

You have three different options for evaluating the review's progress, by panel, by Chairperson, and by Reviewer. You can access individual applications, Reviewer scores and raw comments, and Final Summary Reports from any viewing options.

EVALUATING PROGRESS BY PANEL

Monitoring review progress by using the panel view enables you to see the individual status and progress of each panel member, reviewers and chairs. To review the progress of individual panels, follow the steps below:

1. On the Session screen, click the hyperlinked panel number for the desired panel.

Session ID:	M5Ben
Session Name:	Model 5 Ben Demo (ARM - TR)
Review Type:	Remote Review
Status:	In-Review
Review Progress:	100.0 % of Applications In Review: 16 / 16; 0.0 % of Applications Approved: 0 / 16
View Panel Progress:	
Evaluation List:	By Chair By Reviewer
Reports	

2. You will now see the Panel screen that lists all the applications, by application number, assigned to that panel.

Panel Number 1				
Chair	#24567 Octavia Carter (DC)			
Reviewer	#25528 Cynthia Hansard (FN) #25527 Christine Newcomer (FN)			
Application Number	Applications Name	Note: Scores with 'A' are	average of the pane	l's score
18DEMO0001	Play Action Program Committee	Octavia Carter (24567)	In-review	A
18DEM00001	Play Action Program Committee	Cynthia Hansard (25528)	In-review	
18DEM00001	Play Action Program Committee	Christine Newcomer (25527)	Submitted to Chair 41	
18DEM00002	Maryland Playground Group	Octavia Carter (24567)	Returned by Chair A	
18DEM00002	Maryland Playground Group	Cynthia Hansard (25528)	Returned by Chair 37.	
18DEM00002	Maryland Playground Group	Christine Newcomer (25527)	Returned by Chair 38.0	
18DEM00003	Playgorund Action Group	Octavia Carter (24567)	Submitted to RD 41.00	
18DEM00003	Playgorund Action Group	Cynthia Hansard (25528)	Submitted to RD	49.0
18DEM00003	Playgorund Action Group	Christine Newcomer (25527)	Submitted to RD	33.0

3. There is one line representing each Reviewer as well as the Chairperson for each application. The first grayed line, which also has the hyperlinked application number and name, represents the Chairperson and their status in compiling the Final Summary Report. It also lists the average score for that application. The status next to each Reviewer represents their individual status in evaluating that application, and the score represents their individual total score for that

11

application. **Note**: You will only see an individual Reviewer's total score here once they've submitted their evaluation to the Chairperson, and you will only see the average score once all Reviewers have submitted their evaluations of that application to the Chairperson.

4. Once you see an application in "Submitted to RD" status, the Final Summary Report will be ready for your review and approval.

EVALUATING PROGRESS BY CHAIRPERSON

Monitoring review progress by using the Chairperson view enables you to focus specifically on the Chairperson's progress in compiling Final Summary Reports. To review the progress of application evaluations by Chairperson, follow the steps below:

1. On the Session screen, click the <u>By Chair</u> link.

Session ID:	M5Ben
Session Name:	Model 5 Ben Demo (ARM - TR)
Review Type:	Remote Review
Status:	In-Review
Review Progress:	100.0 % of Applications In Review: 16 / 16; 0.0 % of Applications Approved: 0 / 16
View Panel Progress:	1 2 3 4
Evaluation List:	By Chair By Reviewer
Reports	

2. You will now see the Evaluation List screen that lists all applications, by application number.

Log on/off My H	ome Agency Home Session Evaluation List			
	Page: 1 Page Size: 50 Change			
Application Number 🗖 🗖	Applications 🗖 🗖	Status 🗖 🛡	Panel 🗖 🗖	View App File
18DEM00001	Play Action Program Committee	Submitted to Chair	1	<u>Open File</u>
18DEM00002	Maryland Playground Group	Returned by Chair	1	Open File
18DEMO0003	Playgorund Action Group	Approved	1	Open File
18DEM00004	Nothern Virginian Action Group	Submitted to RD	1	Open File
18DEMO0005	Virginia Playgorund Action Group	Returned by RD	1	Open File
18DEMO0010	Play Action Program Committee	In-review	1	Open File
	Page: 1 Page Size: 50 Change			

- **3.** There is one line representing each application, representing the same Chairperson information as the Chairperson line in the by panel view above. There is also a Panel column that lists the panel to which an individual application has been assigned.
- 4. To view the application file, if application file visibility is enabled for your review, click the green <u>Open File</u> link in the right most View App File column. Note: You can only access the application file in this, By Chair, view.
- 5. Once you see an application in "Submitted to RD" status, the Final Summary Report will be ready for your review and approval.

EVALUATING PROGRESS BY REVIEWER

Monitoring review progress by using the Reviewer view enables you to focus specifically on Reviewer progress on their individual evaluations. This view is especially helpful when you need to track Reviewer adherence to review timelines and identify those Reviewers who may falling behind schedule. To review the progress of application evaluations by Reviewer, follow the steps below:

1. On the Session screen, click the <u>By Reviewer</u> link.

Session ID:	M5Ben
Session Name:	Model 5 Ben Demo (ARM - TR)
Review Type:	Remote Review
Status:	In-Review
Review Progress:	100.0 % of Applications In Review: 16 / 16; 0.0 % of Applications Approved: 0 / 16
View Panel Progress:	1 2 3 4
Evaluation List:	By Chair By Reviewer
Reports	

2. You will now see the Reviewer Evaluation List screen that lists all the applications, by application number.

Log_on/off My	Home Agency Home Session Reviewer Evaluation List				
	Page: 1 Page Size: 50 Change]			
Application	n Applications 🗖 🗖	Reviewer 🗖 🗖	Status 🗖 🗖	Score	Panel 🗖
18DEMO00	01 Play Action Program Committee	C.Hansard (25528)	Submitted to Chair	46.0	1
18DEMO00	01 Play Action Program Committee	C.Newcomer (25527)	Submitted to Chair	41.0	1
18DEM000	Marvland Playground Group	C.Hansard (25528)	Returned by Chair	37.0	1
18DEM000	Marvland Playground Group	C.Newcomer (25527)	Returned by Chair	38.0	1
18DEMO00	03 Playgorund Action Group	C.Hansard (25528)	Approved	49.0	1
18DEMO00	Playgorund Action Group	C.Newcomer (25527)	Approved	33.0	1
18DEMO00	04 Nothern Virginian Action Group	C.Hansard (25528)	Submitted to RD	44.0	1
18DEMO00	04 Nothern Virginian Action Group	C.Newcomer (25527)	Submitted to RD	44.0	1
18DEMO00	05 Virginia Playgorund Action Group	C.Hansard (25528)	Returned by RD	41.0	1
18DEMO00	05 Virginia Playgorund Action Group	C.Newcomer (25527)	Returned by RD	71.0	1
18DEMO00	10 Play Action Program Committee	C.Hansard (25528)	In-review		1
18DEM000	10 Play Action Program Committee	C.Newcomer (25527)	Submitted to Chair	35.0	1

- **3.** There is one line representing each Reviewer, and represents the same information as the Reviewer lines in the by panel view above. There is also a Panel column that lists the panel to which an individual application has been assigned.
- 4. Once you see an application in "Submitted to RD" status, the Final Summary Report will be ready for your review and approval.

13

REVIEWING INDIVIDUAL APPLICATIONS

You can access individual applications for detailed progress information by using any of the viewing options in the section above. You can access individual applications at any time during the review, but please keep in mind that until an application is submitted to you for approval, all scores, comments, and Final Summary Reports should be considered drafts and not final.

ACCESSING INDIVIDUAL APPLICATIONS

To access an individual application for review, follow the steps below:

1. From the Panel screen, Chairperson Evaluation List screen, or the Reviewer Evaluation List screen, click the hyperlinked application number or application name. **Note**: The example screenshot below uses the Panel screen, but the process is identical using the other viewing options.

	Panel I	Number 1		
Chair	#24567 Octavia Carter (DC)			
Reviewer	#25528 Cynthia Hansard (FN) #25527 Christine Newcomer (FN)			
		Note: Scores with 'A' are	average of the pane	l's scores.
Application Number	Applications Name	Reviewer	Status	Score
18DEM00001	Play Action Program Committee	Octavia Carter (24567)	In-review	A
18DEM00001	Play Action Program Committee	Cynthia Hansard (25528)	In-review	
18DEM00001	Play Action Program Committee	Christine Newcomer (25527)	Submitted to Chair	41.0
18DEM00002	Maryland Playground Group	Octavia Carter (24567)	Returned by Chair	A
18DEM00002	Maryland Playground Group	Cynthia Hansard (25528)	Returned by Chair	37.0
18DEM00002	Maryland Playground Group	Christine Newcomer (25527)	Returned by Chair	38.0
18DEM00003	Playgorund Action Group	Octavia Carter (24567)	Submitted to RD	41.00 ^A
18DEM00003	Playgorund Action Group	Cynthia Hansard (25528)	Submitted to RD	49.0
18DEM00003	Playgorund Action Group	Christine Newcomer (25527)	Submitted to RD	33.0

- **2.** You will now see the Application screen that provides application information, and lists each Reviewer's progress as well as the Chairperson's progress. The application information includes:
 - a. ID: The application number.
 - **b.** Name: The name of the applicant or applicant organization.
 - c. Address: The city and state where the applicant organization is located.
 - d. Panel: The panel number to which the application has been assigned.

ID:	18DEMO0004	8DEM00004		
Name:	lothern Virginian Action Group			
Address:	Arlington VA	Arlington VA		
Panel:	1			
	·			
Reviewer ID	Reviewer Name	Evaluation Status	Score	
Reviewer ID 24567	Reviewer Name Octavia Carter	Evaluation Status Submitted to RD	Score 44.00 ^A	
Reviewer ID 24567 25528	Reviewer Name Octavia Carter <u>Cynthia Hansard</u>	Evaluation Status Submitted to RD Submitted to RD	Score 44.00 ^A 44.0	

3. From this screen you will be able to access individual Reviewer scores, the Chairperson's Final Summary Report, and the Reviewers' raw comments.

VIEWING THE FINAL SUMMARY REPORT

To view the PDF Final Summary Report created by the Chairperson, follow the steps below:

1. On the Application screen, click the **Report** button.

	Applications Info	ormation	
ID:	18DEMO0004		
Name:	Nothern Virginian Action Group		
Address:	Arlington VA		
Panel:	1		
Reviewer ID	Reviewer Name	Evaluation Status	Score
Reviewer ID 24567	Reviewer Name Octavia Carter	Evaluation Status Submitted to RD	Score 44.00 ^A
Reviewer ID 24567 25528	Reviewer Name Octavia Carter Cynthia Hansard	Evaluation Status Submitted to RD Submitted to RD	Score 44.00 ^A 44.0
Reviewer ID 24567 25528 25527	Reviewer Name Octavia Carter Cynthia Hansard Christine Newcomer	Evaluation Status Submitted to RD Submitted to RD Submitted to RD	Score 44.00 ^A 44.0 44.0

2. The PDF Final Summary Report will open in a new tab or window.

	-	and the same state in a	o without boost	419400			
		Colored C Teleformer Fires at 10 March	manually leaves and following Office of Chemistration is				
_	Automatica Sector	-					
	Andreader Server	Print Patter and Bernin B.	and Constants				-
	- April and a second	PT.					_
943					-		-
		11040		1 Mar	Tel trate	a burt	To had
	1 Chamberland Profile			29	34	27	1.1
ITAN OF	2 Presi Neeray of A	what!		10	21	21	3
ii.e.	1 Realizer Realization	ied .		11		1	4
Application Name of ETCS DOCT	a clock of this true			- 10	1	1	1
Application Name: Anna Manager	7. Bacture			22		- 12	12
Nam Md			Low	100	1.0	10	-
				Austral	-		-
Citada					-	-	-
1.Organization(20)file	1800047531						
Scools	DarRevettig Base	Ball.		The	•		-
The optimate clash in the day for in-	1.						
burner ware assessed to be been							
_	Rowy And Strength			14		-	
office and heater							
inggest that project. Null housing will prove through a pro- terior of the second second second through the second second second second through the second second second second through the second second second second terms of the second second second second terms of the second second second second second terms of the second second second second second terms of the second second second second second second terms of the second second second second second second terms of the second second second second second second second terms of the second second second second second second second second terms of the second second second second second second second second terms of terms							
 apportant project Sufficiently of provide through a per- traplation to logic approximation of a methy solution trails have been per- tending additionality available to a per- traplation. 							
appent that project. had another will ensure through a per- reduction the series and back will a metric utilized and back will be metric utilized and back will be metric utilized and back will be metric utilized and back will be depended a petricine.							
apporting project. Surface and good according to per- pendencia in the provide source of the model of the project of the pen- tering solution of the pendencia of the pen- dencia of the pendencia of the pendencia of the pendencia of the pendencia of the pendencia of the pendencia of the pendencia of the pendencia of the pendencia of the pendencia of thep							
upper limit project in the second sec		4710.198 Nut1	er 440,00010				
apporting project. Not inside the source incased a per- mission in the source incased as a model of the source incased as a Proton The project source in the source of the source incase of the source appoint is a strateging. The project source is a strateging source assumes a region measure in a strateging of the source in the source of the source in the source in the source of the source of the source in the source of the source of the source of the source in the source of the source of t		WEIKLINK Huge I	() 649,00010	C 104			
againing processions and procession of the second s		WEIKLINK Num	(7 1447,000)10	E 104			
agenting processing and processing a		WEIGHTER PAPET	er 160,000100				
Approximate provides the second secon		and the low Page 1	er 647,30010				
apprint proc. The factor of the theorem back of the factor of the theorem back of the factor of the theorem back of the factor		which the Paper of	(3 (a+F, 108)))				
agentia point. Markania siyan account on a da point any account on a data a constraint of a markania siyan account on a data a markani		with the Number	(3 0+0,300)(4				
approved provided in the second provided in t		40(10)(100 New (er 164,300 (er				
approved provided and approved by the second provided and approved		with the Number	() as F, 200 ()	5.10			
approved provides the second s		#1(0.100 hgr1)	() ar(301)	£ 19			
agenerate proc. Markenski helper analysis in strengt a gen songen analysis in strengt a gen France Markenski helper analysis in strengt France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France France Fr	10 Aug 11 Jun 2000	WEIGHTER Regist 1	er 160,000100	1.16			

- 3. Review the scores and comments.
- 4. The Final Summary Report first lists the detailed scores, by criterion, and total score for each Reviewer as well as the overall average score for the application. The score page is followed by the Reviewer comments that have been included in the report by the Chairperson. Comments are anonymized and listed by criterion, with Strength comments followed by Weakness comments. Note: If no comments were added to the Final Summary Report for any comment category for any criterion, you will see the word "None." This does not mean that there were no strengths or weaknesses for a particular criterion, but that there are no comments.
- 5. If you review the Final Summary Report and you identify changes and edits, you can reject the report, sending it back to the Chairperson for revisions. See the Rejecting Final Summary Reports section below for details.
- 6. If you review the Final Summary Report and you are satisfied with it, you will be ready to provide final approval of the application. See the Approving Applications section below for details.

VIEWING INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER SCORES

Aside from viewing the PDF Final Summary Report, you can also view an HTML version of each Reviewer's individual scores for an application. Follow the steps below:

Applications more	mation	
18DEMO0004		
Nothern Virginian Action Group		
Arlington VA		
1		
Reviewer Name	Evaluation Status	Score
Reviewer Name Octavia Carter	Evaluation Status Submitted to RD	Score 44.00 ^A
Reviewer Name Octavia Carter Cynthia Hansard	Evaluation Status Submitted to RD Submitted to RD	Score 44.00 ^A 44.0
	18DEM00004 Nothern Virginian Action Group Arlington VA 1	18DEM00004 Nothern Virginian Action Group Arlington VA 1

1. On the Application screen, click any hyperlinked Reviewer name.

2. The HTML scores will open in a new tab or window.

Applications: 132516DD131 North Central Playground Action Group, Inc. Evaluated by Yyonne Achmawi (6001)	
Score with * is in	complete.
Criteria	Score
1 Technical Approach (Max. 25 points)	22
2 Budget (Max. 25 points)	19
3 Is the organization prepared to do the project? (Max. 45 points)	Yes
Actual Score:	86
Non-Scoring Criteria	Score
1 What percent of sites are operational?	85 %
2 What is their budget request?	\$ 6000000
3 Was the description of need detailed and complete?	Mostly
4 Please provide an overall assessment of the application.	Comment Only

Applications: 132516EE11 Playground Action Agency Group Evaluated by Karl Davis (5998)	
Score with * is in	complete.
Criteria	Score
1 Technical Approach (Max. 25 points)	22
1 Budget (Max. 25 points)	24
2 What is their budget request?	\$ 6000000
2 Is the organization prepared to do the project? (Max. 45 points)	Mostly
3 What percent of sites are operational?	94 %
3 Was the description of need detailed and complete?	Mostly
4 Please provide an overall assessment of the application.	Comment Only
Actual Score:	81

3. You will see the application number and name at the top along with "Evaluated by [Reviewer name]." Beneath this, you will see the full list of review criteria with the individual score awarded to each. If used, non-scoring criteria with along with the individual responses to each will also be listed here. The sample images above include scoring and non-scoring criteria. The first image shows unmixed criteria that separates scoring and non-scoring criteria into two separate lists. The

second image shows a mixed list of scoring and non-scoring criteria. If your review does not contain non-scoring criteria, the only one list of criteria will appear, as in the second image.

4. Close the tab or window to return to the Application screen and repeat steps 1-4 for the remaining Reviewers.

VIEWING RAW REVIEWER COMMENTS

You also have the option to view all raw Reviewer comments. These comments represent every comment

that the Reviewers submitted to the Chairperson, whether they were included by the Chairperson in the Final Summary Report or not. These comments will not reflect any editing done by the Chairperson or any new comments added by the Chairperson (all of these will be visible in the Final Summary Report).

RAW COMMENTS NOTES:

Viewing the raw Reviewer comments can be useful to ensure all or all significant and relevant comments have been included in the Final Summary Report.

To view the raw Reviewer comments, follow the steps below:

 On the Application screen, click the View Raw Comments button.

D:	18DEMO0004		
Name:	Nothern Virginian Action Group		
Address:	Arlington VA		
Panel:	1		
Panel:	1		
Panel: Reviewer ID	1 Reviewer Name	Evaluation Status	Score
Panel: Reviewer ID 24567	Reviewer Name Octavia Carter	Evaluation Status Submitted to RD	Score 44.00 ^A
Panel: Reviewer ID 24567 25528	Reviewer Name Octavia Carter <u>Cynthia Hansard</u>	Evaluation Status Submitted to RD Submitted to RD	Score 44.00 ^A 44.0

2. The comments will open in a new tab or window.

3. You will now see the complete list of all Reviewer comments for all review criteria. **Note**: If no comments are displayed below a Reviewer's name, the Reviewer has not submitted any comments for that criterion.

	Full List of Original Reviewer Comments
	Panel: 1 (HRSA) PAN : Cleopatra Junes (# 4884) FL-753329 Florida Dept of Health (Entertainticulation) CPy : Tailones : The CPy : Tailones : Th
	1 Approach
Neviewer C.Carl	(5285)
Command 1	
Weakness Applicant fails to ide	PageInUtget terretive H/y the structure of the organization on its budget as suffice in the announcement.
Reviewer R.Phil.	(\$289)
Comment 1	
Strength	Page/Pages 33-48
The applicant identif	ies a third party evaluator who is experienced in evaluating community economic development programs. There is indication of some outcome objectives.
Comment 2	
Strength The applicant utilizes	Page Persprach 2, page 4 an appropriate and relevant source to cite data in establishing need for the proposed project.
Commert 3	
Strength	Pagespages 34-67
The applicant's busin Reviewer H.Rhov	was plan contain disconnetization of convolutioned and support from employers and business and industry to convolt to job creation for the targeted population. I (15787)
Change of the	Providence 34-36
The applicant demon	requiring a set of a stability by indicating it has obtained millions in grants for infrastructure needs and business.
	2 Budget and Budget Justification
Neviewer C.Ced.	(5286)
Comment 1	
Strength	Page: Budget Section page 23
	of dear decompetition of effect to accords small inter- extreme only builds and intervention and intervention for decompetition. The accident disconnected these extremetes

- 4. Review the comments to see if any important comments submitted by the Reviewers are missing from the Final Summary Report.
- 5. Close the tab or window to return to the Application screen.

REJECTING FINAL SUMMARY REPORTS

If, after reviewing a Final Summary Report, you identify changes that you would like the panel to make, you can reject it and return it to the Chairperson with feedback. The panel will have the opportunity to make the requested changes prior to the Chairperson resubmitting the Final Summary Report to you.

HOW TO REJECT FINAL SUMMARY REPORTS

To reject a Final Summary Report, follow the steps below:

ID:	18DEM00004			
Name:	othern Virginian Action Group			
Address:	Arlington VA	Arlington VA		
Panel:	1			
Reviewer ID	Reviewer Name	Evaluation Status	Score	
24567	Octavia Carter	Submitted to RD	44.00 ^A	
24567 25528	Octavia Carter <u>Cynthia Hansard</u>	Submitted to RD	44.00 ^A 44.0	

- 1. On the Application screen, click the **Reject** button. **Note**: These buttons will only be active if the application is in "Submitted to RD" status.
- 2. Enter your feedback in the appropriate criteria or general textboxes. You may enter individual return comments for each criterion, but only one return comment in one textbox is required. Y You may enter individual return comments for each criterion, but only a total of one return comment in one textbox is required. **Note**: Each field has a 4,000 character limit.

	Each Rature Commant a Smited to 4000 Characters	
	1 Approach	
Return Com	ede yn here for ewik oristrian.	Step 2
	2-Budget and Budget Justification	
Defurs Com	este go here for each criterion.	
	Advant on Committee	
And the State State	ene po neve tier eens stationale.	
	Annual	
	Each lature Connect & Imited to 4000 Characters States Cancel Sea Spell Check	Steps 3 & 4



3. Click the **Spell Check** button to check your spelling, and click the **Save** button to save your draft comments without returning the Final Summary Report to the Chairperson.

19

4. Finally click the **Submit** button to return the Final Summary Report to the Chairperson.

5. When the RD returns an application, the status of that application changes to "Returned by RD" and the Chairperson will have control of the Final Summary Report to either make the changes him or herself or to return evaluations to the Reviewers for edits.

APPROVING APPLICATIONS

When you are satisfied with the Final Summary Reports, if no revisions were needed or after all needed revisions are made, you will be ready to approve the applications. Final RD approval is the most important step in completing the review session and is especially **KEY** to finalizing remote reviews. Please follow the best practices of your program office and agency concerning when to approve applications. You have the ability approve applications as soon as they are ready, or you can wait until certain or all applications are ready.

FINAL APPROVAL OF APPLICATIONS

For RDs, to provide final approval of applications, follow the steps below:

1. On the Application screen, click the **Approve** button.

APPROVAL NOTES:

Applications must be approved by the RD for the review to be completed, and all applications must be in an "Approved" status for a ranking list to be generated, and all scores documented as final.

Reviewers and Chairpersons will not be able to generate any final paperwork for signature, if required by your process, until the application is in an "Approved" status.

18DEMO0004		
Nothern Virginian Action Group		
Arlington VA		
1		
Poviowor Namo	Evaluation Status	Scoro
Reviewer Name	Evaluation Status	Score
Octavia Carter	Submitted to RD	44.00 ^A
<u>Cynthia Hansard</u>	Submitted to RD	44.0
Christine Newcomer	Submitted to RD	44.0
	Nothern Virginian Action Group Arlington VA 1 Reviewer Name Octavia Carter Cynthia Hansard Christine Newcomer	Nothern Virginian Action Group Arlington VA 1 Reviewer Name Evaluation Status Octavia Carter Submitted to RD Cynthia Hansard Submitted to RD Christine Newcomer Submitted to RD

2. Next click the **OK** button in the confirmation box.

Please confirm approvin	g the evaluation.
ОК	Cancel

- **3.** The status of that application will change to "Approved." This certifies that the review of that application is complete and satisfactory.
- **4.** You will now be locked out of that application and no further changes can be made to that application.
- 5. If you approve an application in error and determine further changes are necessary, contact your Session Administrator (SA) to have them return the application to you. When a Session Administrator (SA) returns an application to you, you will be able to return it to the panel for any needed changes.

GENERATING REPORTS

To aid in your monitoring of review progress, ARM provides certain reports that you can generate at any point during the review. Please keep in mind that you will need to generate updated versions of the reports as panels continue to make progress reviewing applications and you continue to make progress approving applications.

HOW TO GENERATE REPORTS

To generate any of the available reports, follow the steps below:

1. On the Session screen, click the **Reports** button.

Session ID:	M5Ben	
Session Name:	Model 5 Ben Demo (ARM - TR)	
Review Type:	Remote Review	
Status:	In-Review	
Review Progress:	100.0 % of Applications In Review: 16 / 16; 0.0 % of Applications Approved: 0 / 16	
View Panel Progress:	1 2 3 4	
Evaluation List:	By Chair By Reviewer	
Reports		

- 2. You will now see the Report screen that lists the three currently available reports as well as the file formats in which they are available.
- **3.** The three available reports are the Review Status Report by Panel, the Client Snapshot Report, and the Reviewer Activity Report. See the Available Reports section below for detailed descriptions of each report.
- 4. To generate any of the reports, click the file extension link next to the desired report.

Log on/off My Home Agency Home Session Rep	port	
Review Status Report by Panel	HTML PDF Excel	
Client Snapshot Repor	HTML PDF Excel	
Reviewer Activity Report	Excel	

- 5. That report will open in the selected file format.
- 6. Save the report to your computer, if desired, for future reference.

AVAILABLE REPORTS

THE REVIEW STATUS REPORT BY PANEL



This report, available in HTML and Excel formats, provides you with a summary of each panel's progress by providing information on the number of applications that are in the approval process. Applications that are in the "Submitted to RD," "Returned by RD," and "Approved" statuses are considered to be in the Approval Process.

THE CLIENT SNAPSHOT REPORT



This report, available HTML, PDF and Excel formats, provides you with information about the total applications that have been assigned to each panel, the number of applications that have been approved, by panel, and the total number of applications still in review.

THE REVIEWER ACTIVITY REPORT

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
1	Panel	Reviewer	App Number	App Name	Status	Score	Total Comments by Application	Number of Applications Submitted to Chair
2	1	C.Carlton(5064)	FL-753329	Florida Dept of Health	Submitted to Chair	82	0	1
3	1	R.Phillips(5067)	FL-753329	Florida Dept of Health	Submitted to Chair	90	2	1
4	1	M.Rhodes(5065)	FL-753329	Florida Dept of Health	Submitted to Chair	84	0	1

This report, only available in Excel format, lists the number of applications each Reviewer has in the "Submitted to Chair" status.

MANAGING YOUR ACCOUNT

You have the ability to personalize and update your usernames and passwords and manage your accounts accordingly. To manage your account, click the <u>My Account</u> link in the upper right corner of the screen.

antSoluti	Review	Role:Reviewer Name:Octavia Carter (<u>My Account)</u> Les Off
<u>) on/off</u> My Home		
<u>jon/off</u> My Home Abbr	Agency List	

UPDATE ACCOUNT INFORMATION

You can update all account information as necessary. This includes First Name, Last Name, City, Zip Code, and State.

1. On the Account screen, click the **Update Account Information** button.

System User ID:	24567		
Name:	Octavia Carter		
Login Name:	OCarter8		
	Columbus DC		
ndu essi	DC 43407 Email: bh21sample@noemail.com		
Update A	ccount Information Update Email Update Password Update Login Name		

2. Enter the updated information in the appropriate field, and click the **Submit** button.

Upd	ate Account Information
First Name*:	Octavia
Last Name*:	Carter
City*:	Columbus
Zip Code*:	43407
State*:	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
	Submit Cancel All fields marked with * are required.

UPDATE EMAIL

You also have the option to update the email address associated with your account. If you update your email address, all communication from the ARM system will be sent to the new email address. <u>Note</u>: For returning ARM reviewers, please be sure that you provide the currently registered email address to the Session Administrator (SA) when contacted about acting as a reviewer for a new program.

System User ID:	24567		
Name:	Octavia Carter		
Login Name:	OCarter8		
Address:	Columbus DC 43407 Email: bh21sample@noemail.com		
Update A	ccount Information Update Email Update Password Update Login Name		

1. On the Account screen, click the **Update Email** button.

2. Enter your password, your new email address, confirm the new email address, and finally click the **Submit** button.

and the second	
Fields with * are required.	
Password*:	
New Email*:	newemail@noemail.com
Confirm New Email*:	newemail@noemail.com

UPDATE PASSWORD

You can update your password at any time.

1. On the Account screen, click the **Update Password** button.

System User ID:	24567			
Name:	Octavia Carter			
Login Name:	OCarter8			
	Columbus			
	DC			
Address:	43407			
	Email: bh21sample@noemail.com			
Update A	count Information Update Email Update Password Update Login Name			

2. First enter your old password, then (following the guidelines) enter and confirm your new password and click the **Submit** button.

Please update your password using the guidelines listed below.			
 Min 8 and Max 20 characters Atleast 1 uppercase character (A through Z) Atleast 1 lowercase character (a through z) Atleast 1 numeric (0 through 9) Atleast 1 special character (such as !, \$, #, %) 			
Change Password			
Old Password*:			
New Password*:			
Confirm New Password*:			
Submit Cancel			

UPDATE LOGIN NAME

You have the option to update your username at any time. <u>Note</u>: If the username you select is already assigned, you will need to enter an alternate username.

1. On the Account screen, click the **Update Login Name** button.

System User II	24567		
Name	Octavia Carter		
Login Name	OCarter8		
Address:	Columbus DC		
	43407 Email: bh21sample@noemail.com		
Update	Account Information Update Email Update Password Update Login Name		

2. Enter your password, your new username, confirm the new username, and finally click the **Submit** button.

Change Login Name	
Fields with * are required.	
Password*:	
New Login Name*:	Ocart
Confirm New Login Name*:	þcart 🔶 🕇
	Submit Cancel

THANK YOU

This concludes the Monitor User Manual for the Application Review Module. Thank you for using ARM.